App. Γ. IX-1

Previous References

1935-36
(Mesz)

Mesz **File No.** 107-

Serial Nos. 1

Letter References.

Mesz (07-35-2-3
2-34-37 part 2
[illegible]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for September 1935

SUBJECT.

Fixed annual contribution towards the maintenance the St Bartholomew research establishment

WD 03607—GPB—22,500—2-8-35

Filed for the Record

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mines)

NOTES.

Pay File No. 1 7

FILE HEADING.

Fixed allowance [illegible]

FROM

[illegible]

No. F.77-35/[illegible] II Dated [illegible] Received -

Diary No. 1 7	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The P.W. Scott file referred to in para 2 of the Residency's letter, was promised to be made available by that office by last cold weather. It has not yet done so & I suggest that it be sent to P.W. Scott for favour of making that file available for reference and return as that office hands a formal receipt for it.

21 yes 6/4/34

Therefor

NOTES—First Sheet

WD 02552—GPB—5,000—10 7-30

Serial No.

3) Public works secretariat in the case for his putting up the file required

6/4/31 W.O. No. 314 dt 4 Feb 31 R&LD W/C

4) The file required is herewith sent

CC 8.4.31

The point for consideration and orders is whether the request on behalf of the St. Bartholomew's Church for a fixed annual grant towards the maintenance of the Church, can be considered favorably and if so, what amount can be given as such fixed annual contribution.

6 Information regarding the exact financial position of the Church is not available. In his letter of the 17th Dec 1931 the Archdeacon of Madras has stated that the average expenditure by Govt (of India) on repairs to the Church is about Rs 136 per annum and the expenditure on Servants about Rs 180 per annum

7 In support of the above request the precedent is quoted of H.E. Highness the Nizam's Govt which gives a substantial contribution (Rs 3½) to the Salary of the

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

7. 4664

The files of the P.W. Secty. have been returned.

2/7

11/4

of the Chaplain of the Residency Church at Hyderabad. On this point the present Second Member of Council, then as Mungrai Commissioner had in his unofficial Note dated 25th April 1932, stated to the effect that "the fact that the Hyderabad Government is making a grant is no sufficient ground for us to sanction a grant, as we do not know the circumstances of that grant"

H

3/ The question of making a permanent annual grant for the maintenance of the Church was considered by Govt on a previous occasion and a reply was sent to the Residency in P.W. Secy's letter dated 12th July 1932 to the effect that it is impossible for this Government to give a permanent annual grant for the maintenance of the Church — a building which does not belong to them, and that they would however, be glad to make a contribution for a specific purpose as an indication of their interest in the institution.

G

Q

~~Action for the maintenance of the Residency Church~~

St. Bartholomew's Church at Ally Sky

nd Revenue etariat — File No. (0) of 193 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

9) Subsequently a further request was made by the Govt of India on grounds almost similar to those now mentioned, that this Govt may meet the whole or a greater portion of the cost of providing a new drain round the church and the Govt's reply to this is contained in P.W. Secy's letter dated 15th August 1933.

10) Reference para 2 supra

11) The M.C. will be consulted in the first instance if agreed — G.S. 11/4 — Q.O. 11/4

12) This may be sent to M.C. Nov for favour of opinion. 11/4/35

Ch: Secy)

13) Yes 16/4/35 — 16/4

14) Forwarded with Compliments, unofficially, to the Municipal Commissioner in Alleppe for favour of opinion.

By order

Bangalore 16.4.35

For Chief Secretary

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 01955—GPB—22 500—12 6-34

MUZRAI COMMISSIONER'S OPINION.

5) It is seen from these papers that the cost of repairs and the pay of servants comes to Rs.316/- per year. Against this has to be set off the receipts from grass and trees. Taking the net cost of annual maintenance to be Rs.280/-, this is by no means too large a sum for the congregation to provide. But if it is intended to help the church from State Funds, an annual contribution of Rs.120/- or Rs.150/- may be offered. The contribution may be sanctioned for a period of five years in the first instance, as any grant in perpetuity would ipso facto make the church a Muzrai institution (vide Section 2, Sub-section 2(i) of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation, 1927) and make it difficult to adjust the control to be exercised over the church by the Muzrai Officers on the one hand and by the ecclesiastical authorities, on the other.

Returned with compliments.

1st May 1935.

Muzrai Commissioner.

CHIEF COMMR. MAY 1935

GEN. & REV. SECTT. BANGALORE 8 - MAY - 35

forced annual contribution from
Rt to St Bartholomew's Church at Mts

[App. F. III-2

File No. (U) of 1935.

D.O. Letter No 1345 dated 9.5.35 from the Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaj to the Private Secretary to Dewan — Requests that the files relating to St. Bartholomews Church ~~xxxx~~ may be sent for his perusal

Private Secy's note

17) "Submitted for orders

(Intd) C.N
9/5

18) Dewans order "Chief Secretary for kindly complying with Sir Charles's request"

Intd) M.R.I
9/5

19 Chief Secretary's order "Today Please

(Intd) R.A.
11/5

20 The connected papers are herewith submitted together with a draft reply

21) Draft to Sir Charles
To be sent for approval.
11/5/35

Ch Secy

22) R.K.
11/5/35

23) Let it be sent for signature
9

To the Private Secretary to H. No: 1482 dt 11.5.35 With reference to his D.O. letter of 9.5.35, forwards for perusal — files relating to St Bartholomew's Church,

'NOTE'—Contn. Sheet.

Annual grant to St. Bartholomew's Church

File No. of 193 4 General and Revenue Secretariat

DO Letter No. 4(5)/5-CR dt. 15.5.75
From Sri Chandrasekharan
I.A.S. to N.K. Marshall
Comm. for N.H.
Relating the Food Collection,
Secretariat for References

24) The request on behalf of the St. Bartholomew's Church at Ellore is for a fixed annual contribution from State Funds, towards the maintenance of the Church. The Municipal Council who was consulted in the matter has in his W.O. Note dated 1st May 1975 (para 15 supra) stated that a sum of Rs 120 or 150 may be offered as contribution annually for a period of 5 years in the first instance instead of a permanent grant which would make the Church ~~become~~ a Municipal Institution which would involve certain difficulties in respect of its control by Govt.

25) Subject for orders with reference to para 13 supra.

26) Please give a brief history of the case as mistaken and post up Early

27) A Note is enclosed below.

Note on St. Bartholomew's Church, Mysore.

1) The question whether the St. Bartholomew's Church at Mysore should be taken over from the Government of India and treated as a Mangani Institution, has been considered by Govt and decided in the negative in the circumstances explained below.

2) The position in regard to the question is explained in Sir Charles Todhunter's letter dated the 27th June 1933. The trusteeship of the Church now Vests in the Government of India who have stated to be desirous to divest themselves of their trusteeship and to transfer it to the Mysore Govt, who ~~wanted~~ were willing to take the management of the Institution under Section 14(b)(vi) of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation 1927, in which case the chief controlling authority in matters connected with the church would Vest in the Govt under Section 3 of the said Regulation. The offer of Govt to undertake the complete responsibility for the maintenance of the ~~Christian Institutions~~ Church has accordingly been made in the Secretariat letter No 3414 S dated 18th August 1933 addressed to the Residency in the matter.

3) Meanwhile the authorities of

provided the building was repaired before being handed over to Govt.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Church did not seem to be agreeable to the management vesting in Government unreservedly as contemplated by the provisions of the Regulation. They wanted this Govt to take up the management of the lands and be responsible for the proper up-keep of the Church-buildings, while preserving certain rights of the Bishop in respect of the appointment of the clergy and lay trustees, conduct of services etc.

4) In this connection, reference is invited to the extract noted below, from the Debates Submission Note dated the 15th September 1874 to His Highness.

"Furthermore, the building is now in urgent need of repairs and the Government of Buleia will have to put it in a reasonable state of repair, which is expected to cost an appreciable sum before handing it over to us. If the income from the lands in future will be insufficient for maintenance of the building, this Government would have to make up the deficiency. It is also possible that complications might arise in regard to the management of the institution"

Y. Q. U

N

A

pur I

I feel on reflection, especially in view of the further critical attitude adopted by the authorities of the Church as also by some of the Congregation in regard to the acquisition of a strip of land belonging to it that it would be best to drop the matter and to inform those concerned that the State does not wish to take over the Church.

5) With His Highness' approval, the offer of this Govt. as stated above was withdrawn and a reply to this effect was sent to the Residency in this office letter dated 26th October 1936, ~~also~~ conveying at my Camp Poona the assurance of the Government that this fact did not indicate any change in their attitude towards the Church, in which they will continue to take the same friendly interest as they have taken hitherto.

6) It is now with reference to the above communication from this Govt. that a further request has been made on behalf of the St. Bartholomew's Church for a fixed annual grant towards the maintenance of the Church for favorable consideration by Govt.

7) The Municipal Commissioner who was consulted in the matter unofficially

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

has stated (para 15 of the Notes ~~of~~ ~~fea~~) that a sum of Rs 120 or Rs 150 may be offered as contribution annually for a period of five years in the first instance instead of a permanent grant which would make the Church a Muzrai institution which would involve certain difficulties in respect of its control by Govt (under the provisions of the Regulation)

28) Submitted for orders.

Apts 88
21/5

29) The above office note explains the whole position. From the closing lines of para 2 of Major Edwards letter of 30. 8. 35 (Pkd I) one can easily see how anxious the Govt of India are to divest themselves of their responsibility towards this church and in para 3 of the same letter an enquiry is made as to whether the Govt of Mysore would be prepared to consider favourably the grant of a fixed annual contribution towards the maintenance of the Church. In other words the proposal now made is a slightly modified form of the original request for the Mysore Govt to take over the Church and which Govt have refused to do. In this G.O.

1079 12 (12

Xed annual grant to St. Bartholomew's Church.

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 193 [App. F III-2

Note dy 1. 5. 35 at page 15 4/16 dy
the Rt. Rev. has stated that "if it is
intended to help the Church for State
funds an annual contingent of
Rs 120/- or Rs 150/- may be offered"
for a period of 5 years w the 1st instalment
as any grant in perpetuity would
ipso facto make the Church a
Mazzini Institution.

30) It is for consideration
whether any help may be extended
towards this Church. A reply to
the Rev. is due.

22/5/35

Ch. Secy.)

31) Perhaps the Cash grant of Rs 75/-
per annum may be given for
5 years in the first instance —
That amount will represent a
substantial portion of the
annual cost of maintaining
the Church

22.5.35

2nd Mr.) 32) The proposal of the Rev. 21.5.35
the Residency practically
means that we should maintain the
Church more or less permanently

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

SECOND MEMBER OF GOVT'S
22 MAY 1935
NO. 371

13) Re: financial help for the St. Church at Mysore?

Mcc File No. of 193 | General and Secretariat

In that case, the orig[l] proposal to take over the management of the institn may as well be taken up again. The Church authorities seem to be agreeable to this now. This may perhaps be considered

33) Please submit to the Dewan

28/5

DEWAN'S OFFICE
30 MAY 1935
No. 7083

34) Dewan) 29/5

Pl see Bishop's letter Q 17 & Dewan's reply (Box 3 Q 27 & 35)

35) The Bishop of Madras is most anxious that he shd. see the Dewan or Chief Secy. when in future he comes to Bangalore for change. This will perhaps be considered after a further communication

30-5-35

7646 1/6.

1/6

1/6

Urgent

DEWAN'S MINUTE.

FILE No.

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT 1935
36
11 JUL 1935
MUSTAI BRANCH

DEWAN'S OFFICE
10 JULY 1935
No.

36) May I see the Govt. of India (Residency) Letter asking for financial help for the St. Bartholomew Church at Mysore

Dr
10-7-35

C. Secy)

(Mulgrew)
37) Pl2
11/7/35

38) GS 11/7/35
Letter with the file — The Resy letter refers to is marked P. No I.
11.7.35.

Gen: Secy)
11/7/35

Dewan
11.7.35
C.L. Secy
11/7

40.

OFFICE
11 JULY 1935
No 606
SECRETARY
13 JULY 1935
345

13/7

1, For perusal
13/7/35

Gen: Secy) 42. If approved, this may be recorded as per orders of the Dewan contained in para 40 of the notes. Necessary action to be taken if a reply is received

13/7
13/7

4/9/31

from the Residency

25.9.35.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

File No.	of 193	General and Secretariat

All Communications should be addressed to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore, by designation and not by name.

Pol.145

No. F.97-35
R.O.II

FROM

Major C. G. N. Edwards, I.A.,

1099

SECRETARY TO THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Dated, Bangalore, the 30th March *1935.*

Subject. Rev 107-35-1

St. Bartholomew's Church at Mysore.

SIR,

I am directed to refer to the correspondence ending with your letter No. Pol.433/C.B., dated the 24th October 1934, intimating the withdrawal of the offer made by the Government of Mysore to take over the trusteeship of St. Bartholomew's Church at Mysore, and conveying the assurance that they will continue to take the same friendly interest in the Church as they have taken hitherto.

2. I am now to invite a reference to the fourth paragraph of letter No. 344/S, dated the 18th August 1933, from the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Public Works Department, in which it was urged that the Government of India should be pleased to make a grant of Rs.3,290/- for putting the Church premises in repair before handing them over to the charge of the Government of Mysore. The Government of India accepted this suggestion and sanctioned the sum of Rs.3,290/- for the necessary repairs, on the understanding that they would be relieved of all further obligations in respect of the Church as soon as the question of its transfer to the Government of Mysore was settled (see Major Kirkbride's letter No. 149-T, dated the 29th October 1933, to the address of the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Public Works Department). It will be realised, therefore, that the Government of India regarded this sum as their final

contribution

contribution to the church and that it is unlikely that they will be prepared to incur any further expenditure on its maintenance.

3. As the Government of Mysore have now withdrawn their offer to take over the trusteeship of the Church, it will on removal from the list of maintained Churches, vest in the Indian Church Trustees; but the Indian Church Trustees can undertake no financial responsibility for its maintenance. They are merely holding trustees and have no funds at their disposal. In the same way, th Diocese of Madras has no funds for the maintenance and repairs of particular churches, which must be the care of individual congregations. The cost of the future maintenance and repair of St. Bartholomew's Church at Mysore will therefore fall entirely on the congregation, unless they can obtain some measure of assistance frc outside. The congregation is a small and poor one; and it can hardly be expected to provide entirely from its own resources the funds necessary for the purpose. I am to enquire, therefore, whether the Government of Mysore would be prepared to consider favourably the grant of a fixed annual contribution towards the maintenance of the Church, on the ground that it ministers in a major degree to the spiritual needs of Protestant Christian subjects of the State and Protestant Christian officials in the service of the State. In this connection it might not be out of place to quote the precedent of His Exalted Highness the Nizam's Government, which gives a substantial contribution to the salary of the Chaplain of the Residency Church at Hyderabad.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT.

BJ
28/3

Today, pl

Fern Hill, 9th May 1935.



5731

Dear Mr.Noronha,

Sir Mirza Ismail was good enough to say yesterday that he would send me for perusal the files showing the latest developments in connection with the matter of St.Bartholomew's Church. I should be much obliged if you would kindly arrange to let me have ~~that~~ it as early as possible as it may perhaps be desirable to speak to the Bishop on points arising out of it before I leave Ooty.

Yours sincerely,

Subs. for orders
9/5

1482

11th May 1935.

Dear Sir Charles,

With reference to your D.O. letter dated the 9th instant to the Private Secretary to the Dewan, I am desired to send herewith for your perusal this office file relating to a communication received recently from the Residency enquiring whether the Government would favourably consider the question of the grant of an annual fixed contribution towards the maintenance of St.Bartholomew's Church at Mysore. The previous collections noted in the margin relating to the questions

(1) Four collections No. 35/B of P.W.D.	of repairs to the
(2) Muzrai Collection 320-32 Sls 1-3	Church and transfer

of the management thereof are also sent herewith.

Yours sincerely,

R

Sir Charles Todhunter, K.C.S.I., J.P.
Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Ootacamund.

SUBJECT

Section. Mng

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 1226

No. 1482/Rev Secretariat

To + Office file

General and Revenue Secretariat.

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 11-5-35

Signed by Mr A Ranga Rao

Dear Sir Charles,

With reference to your D.O letter ~~dated~~ dated the 5th inst. to the Private Secretary to the Dewan, I am desired to send herewith for ~~your~~ perusal the Agent file relating to a communication received recently from the Residency enquiring whether the Govt would favorably consider the question of the grant of an Annual fixed Contribution towards the

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

maintenance of St. Bartholomew
Church at Mysore. The previous
collections noted in the margin *
relating to the questions of
repairs to the Church & transfer of
Crown the management thereof
are also sent herewith.

(1) Fam. Collns
No 35-B
P. W D

(2) Miny Collns
320-32
Sls 1-3

Yours sincerely

11/5/35

To,

Sir Charles Todhunter
KCSI
Private Secretary
to His Highness
the Maharaja
of Mysore,
Bangalore

Draft

C.485/5 Collections.

Laundry House,

Fern Hill, 15th May 1935.

1246.

Dear Mr.Ranga Rao,

I am greatly obliged to you for so kindly sending me the collections of files relating to St. Bartholomew's Church at Mysore which are noted in the margin. I am returning them herewith.

Four collections No.35/B of P.W.D.
Muzrai Collection 320-32 Sls 1-3

Yours sincerely,

G. Bowring

17/5/35

17/5/35

Urgent
Secretariat
Mr. BR

Bangalore,

27th Nov 1935.

DIOCESE OF MADRAS

egrams—BISHOP. CONFUTET
" ARCHDEACON: ARRHALEM
ephone—No. 3634

Claremont

Ootacamund

THE DIOCESAN OFFICE
CATHEDRAL P O
MADRAS

May 17 1935

My dear Sir Mirza,

I have been thinking over the suggestion we made that St Bartholomew's Church in Mysore should become a Church maintained by the Mysore Government instead of by the British Government. I know that the plan was dropped because of certain difficulties which arose but on thinking it over it has occurred to me that they were not difficulties of principle and that we might if we talked it over find a way out. Would you care to renew the consideration of the proposals some time at your leisure or do you regard it as finally settled?

With kindest regards

yours very sincerely

Henry Madras

Bangalore;

27th May 1935.

My dear Bishop,

I must apologise for my delay in acknowledging your letter of the 17th May with reference to St. Bartholomew's Church in Mysore.

I write to say that I am prepared to renew the consideration of the proposals.

Yours sincerely,

Sd/ M.M.S

The Right Rev. E.H.M.Waller, M.A., D.D.,
Bishop of Madras,
"Claremont",
OOTACAMUND.

THE PALACE,

BANGALORE.

20th July 1935.

GENL & REV SECRETARIAT
121
1 AUG 1935
MYSORE

My dear Mirza,

I am most grateful to you for your d.o.letter No.236 of the 13th instant and for the very generous attitude you have adopted in regard to the matter of St.Bartholomew's Church. I shall take the first opportunity of bringing the matter as tactfully as I can before the Chaplain and the members of the Congregation.

Yours sincerely,

G. Yajnavalkya

19th July 1935.

My dear Sir Charles,

I am in receipt of your letter No. C. 64 of the 6th instant with reference to the question of transfer of St. Bartholomew's to the Mysore Government. I have since received a letter from the Bishop in connection with this matter and I enclose herewith copies of the letter and of my reply for your information.

Yours sincerely,

Sir Charles Todhunter, K.C.S.I.,
Private Secretary to His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Submitted for kind consideration

19735

Ballabrooie,

Bangalore, 6th July 1935.

My dear Mirza,

In connection with the matter of St. Bartholomew's Church I am writing to ask if you do not think it would be a good thing, now that we have the Bishop here, to try to bring any outstanding matters to a settlement. I think you told me the other day that he had agreed to approach you with a view to reopening the question of transfer of the trust to the Government of Mysore. I do not know whether he has done this yet. If he has not, would you kindly let me know whether you have any objection to my asking him to move in the matter?

At the same time, as my file is incomplete, I am not sure whether there are not some points still outstanding in connection with the Rules. If there are, I am sure you will agree that it would be a good thing to get these settled up. If you ~~will~~ agree, I should be grateful if you would kindly ask the Secretariat to send me over the files so that I might examine this point.

There is also the possibility of a difficulty in connection with your proposal to move the Church to a better site. I am not quite sure whether the Bishop would be prepared to consider the site that was not the property of the Government of India or of the Indian Church Trustees. I am asking him to advise me on this point and will write to you further upon it, if his reply is not too that there are any difficulties in regard to the site that we have considered.

Yours sincerely,

G Boomer

8/17

19th July 1935.

My dear Lord Bishop,

I am much obliged for your letter of the 18th instant with reference to the question of transfer of St. Bartholomew's Church to the Government of Mysore. I am very glad to hear that you are trying to bring this outstanding matter to a settlement and I shall look forward to receiving your proposals as so n as possible. Meanwhile, I should like to thank you very much for the consideration that you have shown me in apprising me of the developments in the interval.

As regards the proposal to move the Church to another site, I am, of course, in no hurry about it. May I say that I made the suggestion simply to please you and the congregation. But I quite appreciate your point of view that this matter had better be postponed for the present.

Yours sincerely,

(Sd) M.M.D

The Right Rev. Edward Harry Mansfield Waller, M.A.,
Bishop of Madras,
The Diocesan Office,
Cathedral P.O., MADRAS.

Send for file

19.7.35

The Diocesan Office,
Cathedral P.O.
Madras.

18th July 1935

No.65/Mys.Ch/35.

My dear Sir Mirza,

ST.BARTHOLOMEW'S CHURCH, MYSORE.

While I was in Ootacamund, you wrote to me saying that you would be willing to hear again from me on the question of the maintenance of the above church. I have been consulting the Residency and the Trustees of the church, and I still think that the scheme could be carried out with considerable advantages to the Church and that the objections which you referred to in our previous consultations can, without difficulty, be removed. I hope, therefore, that in the course of a few weeks to submit to you a scheme. VLZ

1. An agreed schedule of rules under the Mysore Muzrai Regulation which would be applicable directly to Christian churches.

2. If this were agreed, I should ask the Resident to approach the Government of India (with the formal consent of the Church of India, Burma and Ceylon) and ask them to take the church off their list of Maintained Churches. They were quite prepared to do that previously, and no difficulty will be felt on their part if a satisfactory arrangement has been arrived at between ourselves.

3. Under the Indian Church Act the church reverts to the Indian Church Trustees, a body set up by Royal Charter in 1929. They have ample powers and there will be no difficulty in the immediate transfer of the church to the Government of Mysored on agreed conditions.

That is an outline of the process which will be followed and I hope shortly to be able to submit to you for approval the rules to be scheduled to the Regulation.

II. I have been told of the generous proposal to rebuild the church on another site. Might I venture to suggest that that question should be postponed for the present and not mixed up with the first question of the transfer of the church to the Government of Mysore. It seems to me that it might form an occasion of a good deal of irresponsible writing to the papers and comment upon the whole matter. I feel that in view of the present negotiations for the retrocession of part of the Civil station of Bangalore it would be unwise to raise the two questions together. In normal circumstances we could do it without raising any particular outcry, as in fact we did with St.Luke's. But at this juncture a considerable amount of paution is necessary and I am suggesting for instance, that the congregation themselves and the Committee should begin to pass resolutions pointing out that the site is impossible owing to its being water-logged for four months in the year and that it would be advisable for the church to be moved. If we have time to make the matter begin with a request from the congregation, we can avoid a large number of difficulties which I foresee, if we move the question in connexion with, e.g., some town planning scheme.

This letter is unofficial and is not a request for anything, but I thought that you would be interested to know what we are doing in response to your kind permission to to raise the question again.

Yours very sincerely,

Harry Mr. Dias

Amin-ul-Mulk Sir Mirza M.Ismail Kt., C.I.E., O.B.E.,
Dewan of Mysore,
Public Offices,
Bangalore.